

Influenza hemagglutinin drives viral entry via two sequential intramembrane mechanisms

Anna Pabis^a, Robert J. Rawle^{b,c,1}, and Peter M. Kasson^{a,b,c,2}

^aDepartment of Cell and Molecular Biology, Uppsala University, 752 36 Uppsala, Sweden; ^bDepartment of Molecular Physiology and Biological Physics, University of Virginia, Charlottesville, VA 22908; and ^cDepartment of Biomedical Engineering, University of Virginia, Charlottesville, VA 22908

Edited by Michael L. Klein, Temple University, Philadelphia, PA, and approved February 21, 2020 (received for review August 19, 2019)

Enveloped viruses enter cells via a process of membrane fusion between the viral envelope and a cellular membrane. For influenza virus, mutational data have shown that the membraneinserted portions of the hemagglutinin protein play a critical role in achieving fusion. In contrast to the relatively well-understood ectodomain, a predictive mechanistic understanding of the intramembrane mechanisms by which influenza hemagalutinin drives fusion has been elusive. We used molecular dynamics simulations of fusion between a full-length hemagglutinin proteoliposome and a lipid bilayer to analyze these mechanisms. In our simulations, hemagglutinin first acts within the membrane to increase lipid tail protrusion and promote stalk formation and then acts to engage the distal leaflets of each membrane and promote stalk widening, curvature, and eventual fusion. These two sequential mechanisms, one occurring before stalk formation and one after, are consistent with our experimental measurements of single-virus fusion kinetics to liposomes of different sizes. The resulting model also helps explain and integrate previous mutational and biophysical data, particularly the mutational sensitivity of the fusion peptide N terminus and the length sensitivity of the transmembrane domain. We hypothesize that entry by other enveloped viruses may also use sequential processes of acyl tail exposure, followed by membrane curvature and distal leaflet engagement.

viral membrane fusion \mid influenza hemagglutinin \mid molecular dynamics simulation

E nveloped viruses infect cells via a process of membrane fusion that releases the viral core into the cytoplasm. For influenza virus, the viral hemagglutinin is the sole protein component necessary and is sufficient for fusion (1-3). Mature hemagglutinin consists of two subunits, one of which mediates receptor binding and the other fusion (4, 5). The fusion subunit HA2 consists of a transmembrane anchor, a soluble ectodomain that refolds to bring host and target membranes together (6), and an amphipathic fusion peptide that inserts into cellular membranes to help mediate fusion (7). Mutational data show that viral fusion peptides do not simply anchor the ectodomain in the cellular membrane, but must act within the host membrane for the virus to successfully achieve fusion (8-11). The nature of this intramembrane activity has been hotly debated, and emerging evidence suggests that it likely differs from endogenous vesicle fusion machinery in the cell (12). These mechanisms are difficult to resolve experimentally, because fusion is mediated by a loose, transient complex of viral proteins that have been activated for fusion.

Here we used molecular dynamics simulations of fusion by full-length influenza hemagglutinin assemblies to show two sequential intramembrane activities of influenza fusion peptides required for fusion. This model integrates and explains previous experimental data on potential fusion peptide mechanisms and yields a broad integrative view of the process from membrane apposition to fusion pore opening.

Viral membrane fusion is believed to proceed through a series of lipidic intermediates (13). Current understanding, including recent structural results (14–16), can be summarized as follows. Initial membrane apposition brings host and target membranes together, with patchy hydration at the interface but no gross changes in bilayer structure (17). The first structural intermediate involving membrane change is the formation of a fusion stalk where the proximal apposed membrane leaflets begin to merge, forming a small region of continuous aliphatic density across the intervening polar layer (18, 19). This stalk then expands into a hemifusion diaphragm where proximal leaflets are merged but distal leaflets remain intact. Fusion pore opening represents the final topological change in fusion, resulting in continuous water density between the lumens of the virus and the target. There is relatively strong consensus about these intermediates; however, substantial uncertainty remains regarding the precise role of influenza fusion proteins in promoting these intermediates, their fine structure, and any off-pathway states in fusion.

Many orthogonal approaches have yielded data regarding potential roles for influenza fusion peptides in mediating membrane fusion, but no single mechanism has been able to explain all of the available data to date. Here we highlight several findings that are of particular relevance to this work. Different mutations to the N-terminal fusion peptide of hemagglutinin (HA2 subunit after proteolytic cleavage) can either result in complete arrest of fusion or can permit lipid mixing but impair content transfer through a fusion pore (8, 9, 11). Such impairment can occur even in the presence of ectodomain refolding to the postfusion conformation (8, 9). These phenotypes have been

Significance

Viral proteins that accomplish membrane fusion between the virus and a host cell do two things: draw virus and host membranes together and act within these membranes to induce fusion. This second property is much less well understood than the first. We have used molecular dynamics simulations approximating influenza virus fusion to obtain a model of how influenza proteins promote fusion within interacting membranes. This model helps explain previous mutational data and new experiments. Viral fusion proteins have long been thought to curve and disorder membranes; we can now specify when and how this is accomplished. This provides a better fundamental understanding of viral entry and a basis for interpreting host defenses that act on membranes to interfere with infection.

Author contributions: P.M.K. designed research; A.P., R.J.R., and P.M.K. performed research; R.J.R. contributed new reagents/analytic tools; A.P. and R.J.R. analyzed data; and P.M.K. wrote the paper.

The authors declare no competing interest.

This article is a PNAS Direct Submission.

Published under the PNAS license.

Data deposition: Analysis code is available in GitHub at https://github.com/kassonlab. Simulation parameters are available on Zenodo (DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.3698017).

¹Present address: Department of Chemistry, Williams College, Williamstown, MA 01267. ²To whom correspondence may be addressed. Email: kasson@virginia.edu.

This article contains supporting information online at https://www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/ doi:10.1073/pnas.1914188117/-/DCSupplemental.

First published March 18, 2020.

reproduced in cells expressing full-length hemagglutinin and cells expressing infectious virus, and although phenotypes vary between the two systems (20), the data strongly support an intramembrane role for fusion peptides in driving fusion. Simulations have found that these fusion peptides can induce acyl tail protrusion in proportion to their ability to induce lipid mixing (21), and that this acyl tail protrusion is key to the formation of stalk-like intermediates on the fusion pathway (22-24). Spectroscopic evidence suggests that this such activity may correlate with semiclosed fusion peptide conformations (25). Experimental studies on PEG-mediated vesicle fusion with exogenous fusion peptides offer indirect support for this model (26). Separately, spectroscopic experiments and simulations on isolated influenza fusion peptides suggest that they are capable of inducing membrane curvature (27-30), which could alter the free-energy barriers to fusion either by changing the starting reference state or by stabilizing highly curved intermediates (31, 32). However, as we show in this paper, the overall starting curvature of the target membrane does not detectably affect the rate of influenza virus lipid mixing.

Testing these theories directly has been challenging, because biochemical manipulations feasible on isolated peptides are challenging on full-length hemagglutinin and even more so on infectious virus. In addition, because the membrane context of these transient fusion assemblies is critical, fusion phenotype can vary even between cells expressing hemagglutinin and bona fide virions (20). Here we used molecular dynamics simulations to approximate the fusion of a pH-activated influenza virion to a planar bilayer, the most common experimental geometry used to measure viral fusion kinetics at high spatiotemporal resolution (33–36). We took a multiresolution approach, using atomic-resolution simulations to capture fusion stalk formation and pore opening (Fig. 1) and coarse-grained simulations for the slower evolution of hemifusion intermediates between these events (Fig. 2). We used perturbation tests to validate our simulations and then compared them against existing or new experimental data not used to tune the simulations.

Results and Discussion

All simulations contained a proteoliposome approximating the virus, three full-length hemagglutinin trimers, and a planar lipid bilayer approximating the target membrane. Fusion stalk formation was assessed by analyzing 50 independent atomicresolution simulations started from a docked state after ectodomain refolding. The timing of early lipidic intermediates in fusion versus ectodomain refolding has not been fully resolved, although it is believed that refolding precedes fusion (16, 37, 38). Therefore, we chose a starting state consistent with this hypothesis, in which the energetic contribution from ectodomain refolding has been completed, but fusion would arrest if not for intramembrane contributions from hemagglutinin. The latter conclusion is demonstrated by fusion peptide mutants that lead to ectodomain refolding but no lipid mixing (11). Simulations were then coarse-grained at the point of stalk formation and run at multiple peptide protonation states, lipid compositions, and bilayer sizes to analyze the determinants of fusion pore formation. To test the robustness of hemagglutinin intramembrane fusion mechanisms to membrane curvature, simulations were also performed using a proteoliposome of twice the diameter (30 nm) and a correspondingly larger bilayer. Finally, simulations were converted back to atomic resolution shortly before fusion pore opening to capture atomic details of the fusion pore. This multiresolution strategy was chosen because single water layer effects in stalk and pore formation particularly benefit from atomic resolution simulations (17).



Fig. 1. Influenza fusion peptides promote acyl tail protrusion leading to stalk formation. Atomic-resolution simulations of a small proteoliposome docked to a planar bilayer by three full-length hemagglutinin trimers (*A*) resulted in fusion stalk formation (*B*) at a rate of $1.7 \ \mu s^{-1}$, estimated over 50 independent simulations starting with the hemagglutinin ectodomains in the postfusion conformation. Fusion peptides promoted local protrusion of phospholipid acyl tails (*C*), contributing to stalk formation as described previously. A nascent fusion pore is rendered in *D*, with water lining the pore in sphere form. Renderings show cutaways of phospholipids in stick form and hemagglutinin proteins in cartoon form, with explicit water and ions not rendered. All three hemagglutinin proteins in cartoon form, with explicit water and ions not rendered. All three hemagglutinin proteins in cartoon form, with explicit water and ions not rendered. All three hemagglutinin proteins in cartoon form, with explicit vater and ions not rendered. All three hemagglutinin proteins in cartoon form, with explicit vater and ions not rendered. All three hemagglutinin proteins in cartoon form, with explicit vater and ions not rendered. All three hemagglutinin proteins in cartoon form, with explicit vater and ions not rendered. All three hemagglutinin proteins in cartoon form, with explicit vater and ions not rendered. All three hemagglutinin proteins in cartoon form, with explicit vater and ions not rendered. All three hemagglutinin proteins in cartoon form, with explicit vater and ions not rendered in *SI Appendix*, Fig. S3.

www.manaraa.com



Fig. 2. Distal leaflet involvement in the junctional complex leads to membrane bending and fusion pore formation. Starting from a stalk structure (*A*), cutaway renderings of phospholipid phosphate groups show changes in membrane geometry from the initial stalk (*B*) to distal leaflet movement toward the junctional complex, here shown at 80 ns of coarse-grained simulation (*C*). This permits subsequent stalk widening and hemifusion diaphragm formation between the two distal leaflets, rendered at 500 ns of coarse-grained simulation (*D*) and finally fusion pore formation, rendered at 6.78 µs of coarse-grained simulation (*E*) and shown again in coarse-grained detail (*F*). Membrane bending is a key feature of progression to fusion pore formation but primarily occurs after initial stalk formation. Coarse-grained simulation times to pore formation ranged from 267 ns to 6.8 µs after stalk formation. As shown in the subsequent figures, the sequence of events rendered here is a common feature of all simulations leading to fusion pore opening.

Simulated fusion stalk formation by full-length hemagglutinin proceeded largely as expected from previous simulations of lipid-only vesicle fusion and of isolated fusion peptides (21–24). Close membrane apposition was rapidly formed, accompanied by patchy dehydration of the interface. Fusion stalks were nucleated by stochastic encounter of protruding acyl tails, promoted by the fusion peptides (Fig. 1). This is qualitatively similar to the emerging understanding of SNARE-mediated fusion stalk formation (39). Using 50 individual simulations, we estimated the rate for stalk formation in this system from an activated, docked conformation at 1.7 µs⁻¹ using a Poisson approximation (Methods). This is in accordance with the expectation that fusion peptide release and hemagglutinin ectodomain refolding are rate-limiting for the process of lipid-mixing. We observed fusion peptides to be conformationally plastic (SI Appendix, Fig. S1), visiting conformations similar to previous NMR-derived conformational structures (40-42). These conformations were metastable but underwent exchange on the submicrosecond timescale, while the ectodomain structure was well preserved (SI Appendix, Fig. S2).

Membrane bending was observed to be a critical feature of fusion, but, unexpectedly, this effect was manifested only in stages subsequent to stalk formation. Simulations showed minor bending of the target bilayer well before stalk formation, but this was not rate-limiting for stalk formation. Indeed, the upward displacement of the bilayer was less than one bilayer thickness and not significantly greater when stalks were formed compared with time-matched nonproductive encounters (P > 0.8, Mann– Whitney U test). In contrast, substantial bending occurred in all cases before fusion pore formation (Fig. 2) and after stalk expansion, leading to direct apposition of the distal leaflets in a hemifusion diaphragm. Thus, we predict that for hemagglutininmediated fusion of a small proteoliposome with an approximately planar bilayer, membrane bending affects the rate of fusion pore opening, but not the rate of initial stalk formation.

This finding is consistent with single-virus experiments on influenza fusion with small unilamellar vesicles. Virus was bound to GD1a-containing vesicles tethered to a passivated surface inside a microfluidic flow cell as described previously (36), fusion was triggered by a buffer exchange to pH 5, and lipid-mixing kinetics were assessed by the waiting time between pH drop and fluorescence dequenching of fluorescently labeled lipids in the viral envelope. When this procedure was repeated for liposomes extruded at different sizes, lipid-mixing kinetics were not detectably different (Fig. 3). This suggests that lipid-mixing kinetics are not demonstrably altered by changes to target membrane curvature and deformability. Although alternative explanations are possible, these results are consistent with our simulation predictions that membrane bending primarily occurs after stalk formation, the molecular event that permits stable lipid mixing.

In simulations, expansion of the stalk junctional complex and formation of a hemifusion diaphragm (Fig. 2) required three components: an increase in stalk radius, inward movement of the distal leaflets into the junctional complex (Fig. 2*C*), and subsequent increased membrane bending. Stalk radius expansion



Fig. 3. Rates of lipid mixing are not detectably different between influenza virus and target liposomes of different sizes. Single-virus fusion experiments between fluorescent- labeled X-31 (H3N2) influenza virus and liposomes extruded at different sizes show no detectable dependence of lipid-mixing rate on vesicle size. This is consistent with the hypothesis that membrane bending primarily occurs after stalk formation. Cumulative distribution curves for individual fusion event distributions are plotted versus time after pH drop. Vesicle sizes refer to the diameter of the extrusion pore used.

occurred simultaneously with inward movement of the distal leaflets (Fig. 4). When the lower leaflet of the bilayer was restrained from upward movement into the stalk complex, no stalk expansion and no fusion pore opening occurred (*SI Appendix*, Fig. S4), suggesting that this upward movement is required for progression to fusion. This multistep progression to fusion involves several intermediate states; nonetheless, a rough estimate of overall timescale can be obtained by treating the opening of a fusion pore from the stalk state as a Poisson process. Under that approximation, the rate of fusion pore formation for small proteoliposomes is $0.18 \,\mu s^{-1}$, estimated from 20 simulations. The general principle of distal leaflet involvement is similar to that shown in previous simulations of SNARE-mediated fusion (43), although the details of the membrane complexes and mechanisms by which involvement is achieved differ here.

Facilitating such inward movement of the distal leaflets appears to be a key role of hemagglutinin. Hemagglutinin fusion peptides localize to the rim of the expanding stalk and may aid the curvature changes associated with stalk widening; however,



Fig. 4. Stalk widening occurs simultaneous to distal-leaflet inward movement and permits later stages of fusion. Stalk radius is estimated via root mean squared distance from the geometric center of the stalk and plotted versus time in A. Stalk expansion occurs simultaneously with inward movement of the bilayer distal leaflet, plotted as the minimum distance between the lower leaflet and the vesicle center in B. Quantities are plotted as median values for all unfused trajectories, with interquartile range (IQR) shown in gray. Trajectories are synchronized to the time of stalk expansion (denoted as time 0). Fusion pore opening events are denoted by vertical arrows in B. Glv1 to lower-leaflet phosphate distances are plotted in C and are maintained stably at 0.49 nm (90% CI, 0.46 to 0.55 nm) and when lost rapidly decay to approximately the bilayer thickness (median, 3.47 nm; 90% Cl, 2.91 to 3.87 nm). In all fusing trajectories in the original dataset, loss of Gly1-Phos contact occurs only after stalk expansion, and commitment to fusion occurs relatively early (SI Appendix, Fig. S9). Traces show median and IQR of Gly1-Phos distance since the start of simulation (blue) or loss of contact (red). D shows the results of several perturbations; changing the lipid composition to 100% POPC while maintaining protonated peptide N termini and maintaining the POPE:POPC lipid composition while neutralizing the peptide N termini. Bars show 90% CIs calculated via the bootstrap, and changing the lipid composition to 75% POPC, 25% DOPE with either protonated or neutral N termini. N.S., not significantly different; **P < 1e-4; *P < 0.02 via bootstrapped hypothesis testing. Analogous plots to A–C for neutral N termini are given in SI Appendix, Fig. S10.

such localization alone was not enough to drive efficient fusion in our simulations in the absence of distal leaflet involvement. In our simulations, occasional deep insertion of a fusion peptide N terminus resulted in contact with the distal leaflet phosphate. Deeper insertion has been previously suggested to correlate with the fusion activity of influenza (44) and HIV (45) fusion peptides. The Gly1-PO4 contact that we observed was highly efficient in drawing the distal leaflet into the junctional complex and facilitating progression to fusion. This activity depended on the protonation state of the Gly1 amino terminus: when the terminal NH₂ was held uncharged, fusion was greatly reduced (Fig. 4D). Such activity was particularly important in simulations of a smaller periodic patch of bilayer, which caused an increase in the free energy required for upward deformation by some Δz compared with a larger periodic patch (SI Appendix, Fig. S5). When we repeated the fusion simulations using the same stalk structure and a larger target bilayer, stalk widening and ultimate fusion pore opening were observed both with and without Gly1-PO4 contact, although to a greater extent with a protonated amino terminus (Fig. 5). The same effect was observed in simulations of hemagglutinin-mediated fusion of a vesicle of twice the radius and a correspondingly larger bilayer; 14 of 20 simulations with a protonated peptide N terminus proceeded from a stalk state through membrane curvature and stalk widening, while only 1 of 20 simulations with neutral N termini showed such progression (SI Appendix, Fig. S6). Taken together, these findings suggest that trans-bilayer contact by hemagglutinin, when it occurs, can reduce the activation free energy for fusion stalk widening by drawing the distal leaflets into the junctional complex.

These findings may also help explain the requirement for a \geq 17-residue hemagglutinin transmembrane anchor but relative mutational tolerance observed experimentally (46-49). In our simulations of a highly curved liposome, the transmembrane domain may facilitate recruitment of the liposome inner leaflet into the stalk complex (SI Appendix, Fig. S7), in some cases apparently pulling the liposome inner leaflet into the junctional complex. Such an effect would be further accentuated in a 75-nm-diameter viral particle and can indeed be seen in simulations of a 30-nm proteoliposome (Fig. 6) from a stalk state through fusion. In the less-curved membrane of a full-size influenza virus, the activity of the transmembrane anchor may indeed resemble that observed for deeply inserted fusion peptides; however, in neither case do curvature and fusion occur due to force transduction through the fusion peptide or transmembrane domain after stalk formation. When the peptide bonds connecting either the fusion peptide or the transmembrane anchor to the ectodomain were severed after stalk formation, simulations still proceeded to fusion.

We also performed a set of simulations to examine the process of fusion pore formation in atomic detail. A coarse-grained simulation snapshot 10 ns before fusion pore opening was converted to atomic resolution, and 30 simulations were started at that point. Two-thirds (67%) of these simulations fused, with a median time to fusion of 21 ns, indicating that these late hemifusion structures were highly committed to fusion pore opening in both coarse-grained and atomistic representations. In addition, 10 atomic-resolution simulations were started from three different coarse-grained hemifusion snapshots using a proteoliposome of twice the size (30 nm diameter). One-half of these larger simulations fused within 50 ns; the lower fusion rate can be attributed to a single coarse-grained snapshot that likely had not yet progressed to be fusion-capable. The process of pore formation was marked by a thinning of the hemifusion diaphragm, followed by formation of a partial water defect in this diaphragm. This partial defect was then followed by water penetration from the opposite side to form a continuous water density across the hemifusion diaphragm (Fig. 6 and SI Appendix, Fig. S8). This was rapidly followed by reorientation of the

Pabis et al.



Fig. 5. The fusion mechanism is preserved but the requirement for Gly1-PO₄ contact is relaxed in simulations of a larger bilayer patch. Fusion was simulated of the same stalk structure as in Fig. 4 except with a larger target bilayer. The initial stalk state and a representative fusion pore are rendered in A and B, respectively. Plotted in C is stalk radius expansion, estimated as the root mean squared distance of stalk lipids from the center, synchronized to time of expansion. D shows the inward movement of the bilayer lower leaflet into the functional complex, and E shows Gly1-lower leafletphosphate contact distances similar to Fig. 4. Data plotted in D and E are the aggregate of simulations with protonated and unprotonated glycines. The fraction of these simulations fusing is plotted in F; unlike with a smaller bilayer patch, a substantial fraction of simulations fuse without protonated glycines and without Gly1-Phos contacts. As in Fig. 4, data are plotted as median values, with IQR represented in gray; bars in D show bootstrapped 90% CIs, and blue arrows in B show times of fusion. The bootstrapped P value for difference between the mean fusion outcomes is 0.04. Data are plotted for NH₂-Gly and NH₃-Gly simulations separately in SI Appendix, Fig. S11.

adjacent headgroups to form a pore lined by polar moieties. In our simulations, pore formation occurred in a region of hemifusion diaphragm thinning; no portion of the hemagglutinin protein directly contacted the nascent pore. Thus, the initial pore formed in simulated hemagglutinin-driven fusion was entirely lipidic in nature. Simulations of pore formation between a 30-nm proteoliposome and a target bilayer suggest that the hemagglutinin transmembrane domain may play a role in pinning the edges of the thinned hemifusion diaphragm (Fig. 6), thereby lowering the free energy barrier to pore formation. Mutational evidence suggests a further role for hemagglutinin in fusion pore widening (49, 50); whether this proceeds via protein action in the pore itself or outside the immediate pore region remains unclear.

Conclusions

Here we report mixed-resolution simulations of influenza membrane fusion between either 15-nm or 30-nm proteoliposomes approximating the virus and a planar bilayer. This system was chosen to mimic several aspects of single-virus fusion experiments within a computationally tractable framework. It simulates the process of membrane fusion after membrane apposition achieved by refolding of the hemagglutinin ectodomains. Experimental evidence is mixed on whether full refolding of all three ectodomains is required for fusion (3, 38, 51-53), but it should constitute the full contribution of the ectodomains toward fusion, and fusion peptide mutations have shown that in absence of sufficient intramembrane hemagglutinin activity, the refolding of these ectodomains alone is insufficient for fusion (8-11). One key aspect of virus-membrane fusion experiments that our simulations do not include is the presence of cholesterol. We expect that cholesterol will modulate membrane properties, but that the fundamental mechanisms elucidated here will remain. Our simulations were thus devised to probe the intramembrane activity of hemagglutinin, particularly the fusion peptide. Because the hemagglutinin proteoliposome simulated is highly curved, the simulations might not fully capture the contribution of hemagglutinin transmembrane domains to fusion. Nonetheless, we are able to hypothesize a mechanism of action for the transmembrane domain by close observation of the domain placement during our simulations and by analogy from fusion peptide activity.

Our simulations thus predict two sequential mechanisms for the intramembrane activity of influenza hemagglutinin leading to fusion: first, increasing lipid acyl tail exposure and catalyzing stalk formation, and second, helping recruit distal leaflets into the stalk junctional complex, leading to hemifusion diaphragm formation and fusion pore opening. Fusion peptides may also contribute to proximal leaflet curvature (27) and stalk radius expansion. Our simulations show that the peptides are well placed for such an effect but do not directly test whether they contribute to fusion in this way. Deep insertion of the fusionpeptide N terminus, as predicted by these simulations, may be a rare event that contributes directly to membrane fusion, or it may reflect a physical mechanism that is in fact used by the transmembrane domain and helps explain the length requirement for the transmembrane domain observed experimentally. Thus, our findings yield hypotheses for how both intramembrane portions of influenza hemagglutinin contribute to fusion and viral entry. They help explain how mutations to the N terminus of the fusion peptide and deletions in the transmembrane anchor impair fusion pore opening, because those portions could be responsible for distal leaflet recruitment.

The multiresolution simulation approach used here further vields a platform for examining other contributions to fusion, such as more complex lipid compositions, the potential for fusion peptide-transmembrane domain complexes in the hemifused state, and the effect of different fusion peptide mutations on stages of fusion beyond stalk formation. Such simulations can help explain the disparate experimental findings on influenza membrane fusion and also suggest additional experiments for testing the mechanistic hypotheses. More broadly, this paradigm of acyl tail exposure facilitating stalk formation and then distal leaflet recruitment as a key permissive factor for stalk expansion and fusion pore opening may also describe entry by other enveloped viruses. Class I viral fusion proteins would be expected to have the greatest mechanistic similarity to influenza, but testing the generality of these conclusions to entry mediated by class II and class III will help illuminate the mechanistic conservation versus diversity in viral entry.

Methods

Simulations from Docked State. A hemagglutinin proteoliposome was generated by assembling a model of full-length H3 hemagglutinin corresponding to the X-31 influenza strain. The full-length hemagglutinin model was assembled using Modeler (54) and supplying a crystallographic structure of the postfusion HA2 ectodomain (55) and an NMR structure of residues 1–20 of the HA fusion peptide in lipid micelles (40), and then modeling the



Fig. 6. Fusion pore formation. Rendered are snapshots 1 ns before (*A*) and at the time of (*B*) fusion pore formation between a 30-nm proteoliposome and a 1,900-nm² bilayer, simulated at atomic resolution. Full system snapshots of these fusion simulations are shown in *SI Appendix*, Figs. S15 and S16. Stalk radius expansion in preceding coarse-grained simulations of the 30-nm proteoliposome:bilayer system is plotted in *C*, and the recruitment of the lower leaflet into the hemifusion complex is plotted in *D*. The hemagglutinin protein is rendered in green in *A* and *B*, with the transmembrane domain labeled TM. Plots in *C* and *D* show median values in blue and IQR in green. The fusion pore is nucleated in a region of thinned bilayer and consists of continuous water density spanning the hemifusion diaphragm. Other local water defects that do not achieve continuous trans-bilayer water density do not nucleate fusion pores. The hemagglutinin transmembrane domains likely contribute to fusion pore formation by anchoring the ends of the hemifusion diaphragm and keeping it thinned.

transmembrane domain as an ideal alpha helix. The fusion peptides were initially inserted in the bilayer membrane approximating the membrane depths determined by electron paramagnetic resonance spectroscopy (40), and the proteoliposome was placed at 16 Å, the closest approach distance to the bilayer with the hemagglutinin ectodomains positioned between. The positions of the membrane-inserted fusion peptides and transmembrane helices were held fixed, and Modeler was used to generate the structure of the linker and the orientation of the ectodomain via template-based modeling using the templates specified above. The resulting assembly was then relaxed via energy minimization and molecular dynamics simulations (SI Appendix, Methods and Fig. S12). The proteoliposome and bilayer were separated by a water layer that was of approximately bulk density (SI Appendix, Fig. S13) and displayed liquid-like properties with slowed diffusion (SI Appendix, Fig. S13), characteristic of fluid water near a membrane interface but not yet displaying the glassy properties of water between two tightly apposed bilayers (56). The liposome was taken from previous vesicle fusion simulations and had a diameter of 15 nm (17), and the fusion peptides were inserted into a 2,000-lipid bilayer. Different NMR studies have yielded multiple conformational models for the hemagglutinin fusion peptide (41, 42, 57, 58), and our simulation trajectories sampled structures close to all of the pH 5 structures (SI Appendix, Fig. S1). Lipid compositions used were POPE:POPC 75%:25% and 100% POPC, as indicated. Simulations were run using Gromacs (59) and AMBER99SB-ILDN (60, 61) and lipid parameters have been published previously (17). Detailed parameters are provided in SI Appendix, Methods (62). Fifty independent simulations were run for 200 to 500 ns each; simulations and lengths are tabulated in SI Appendix, Table S1.

Simulations from Stalk State. Atomic-resolution stalk structures were converted to coarse-grained, and coarse-grained protein parameters were generated from the hemagglutinin conformations in the stalk structures using the *backward* (63) and *martinize* utilities, respectively. Simulations were resolvated, equilibrated, and restarted using the MARTINI parameters (64) in Gromacs (details in *SI Appendix, Methods* and Fig. S14). Ten simulations each were run from this stalk state with protonated glycine N termini and neutral N termini, five with protonated N termini and POPC lipids, 10 each with protonated and neutral N termini using 75%:25% POPC:DOPE lipids in the target bilayer, and two additional control simulations with protonated N termini and NPT conditions. Simulations and lengths are given in *SI Appendix*, Table S1.

Simulations of Fusion Pore Opening. A structure was selected 10 ns (coarsegrained) before the first fusion pore opening in MARTINI simulations and converted to atomic resolution in the CHARMM36 force field (65, 66) using the *backward* utility. This was used to start 30 independent simulations (19 with protonated fusion peptide N termini and 11 with neutral fusion peptide N termini; trajectories tabulated in *SI Appendix*, Table S1). These were again solvated in TIP3P water with 150 mM NaCl. At the start, no fusion pore was present. Simulations were run in Gromacs with parameters identical to the CHARMM simulations from the docked state above.

Simulations of Larger Bilayer Patches. An additional 30 coarse-grained simulations were performed from the stalk state with a larger bilayer patch (1,000 nm²), generated as described in *SI Appendix*. Fifteen of these simulations used protonated N termini, and the other 15 used neutral N termini. Run lengths are provided in *SI Appendix*, Table S1.

Simulations of Larger Vesicles and Bilayers. A further 40 coarse-grained simulations were performed from the stalk state using a vesicle of twice the diameter (30 nm) and a corresponding bilayer patch of 1,930 nm², generated from stalk states formed by 15-nm vesicles as described in *SI Appendix*, *Methods*. Twenty simulations used protonated N termini, and 20 used neutral N termini. Three of the simulations using protonated N termini were converted to atomic resolution shortly before pore opening, and a total of 10 runs from those structures were performed as described above and in *SI Appendix, Methods*. The atomic-resolution system size was 8 million atoms. Simulation run lengths are provided in *SI Appendix*, Table S1.

Single-Virus Fusion Experiments. Labeling of virus, preparation of vesicles and microfluidic flow cells, microscopy, and analysis were performed as described previously (36), with the exception of the extrusion pore size. In brief, X-31 influenza virus (A/Aichi/68; H3N2) was labeled with Texas Red-DHPE at a self-quenching concentration and allowed to bind to small unilamellar vesicles produced via extrusion of a 65.5% POPC, 20% DOPE, 10% cholesterol, 2% GD1a, and 0.5% Oregon Green-DHPE mixture through pores of the indicated size. The vesicles were immobilized by DNA-lipid binding to a passivated glass coverslip inside a microfluidic flow cell before virus binding. After virus binding, unbound virus was washed away by exchange of >20 volumes of buffer. Fusion was initiated by buffer exchange to pH 5.0. Fusion events were detected by dequenching of Texas Red dye in single-virus spots, and the single-event waiting times were compiled into curvulative distribution curves for each fusion condition. Analysis code is available at https://github.com/kassonlab/micrograph-spot-analysis.

Simulation Analysis Methods. Distances were measured using Gromacs; data processing was performed in Python. Stalk-formation rates were estimated via a previously published Poisson event model (67, 68); details are provided in *SI Appendix, Methods.* Stalk expansion was estimated as the root mean

squared distance of all stalk lipid particles from the geometric center of the stalk, median-filtered over 3-ns windows. Lipid tail protrusion was measured via a custom utility written for Gromacs using definitions specified previously (24). Stalk formation and fusion pore formation were analyzed using alpha complexes in a variation on previous work (69). Details are provided in *SI Appendix, Methods*, and code for both is available at https://github.com/kassonlab/fusion-shape-analysis.

Data Availability Statement. All data discussed in the paper are available on Zenodo (DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.3698017).

- D. P. Sarkar, S. J. Morris, O. Eidelman, J. Zimmerberg, R. Blumenthal, Initial stages of influenza hemagglutinin-induced cell fusion monitored simultaneously by two fluorescent events: Cytoplasmic continuity and lipid mixing. J. Cell Biol. 109, 113–122 (1989).
- S. J. Morris, D. P. Sarkar, J. M. White, R. Blumenthal, Kinetics of pH-dependent fusion between 3T3 fibroblasts expressing influenza hemagglutinin and red blood cells. Measurement by dequenching of fluorescence. J. Biol. Chem. 264, 3972–3978 (1989).
- H. Ellens, J. Bentz, D. Mason, F. Zhang, J. M. White, Fusion of influenza hemagglutininexpressing fibroblasts with glycophorin-bearing liposomes: Role of hemagglutinin surface density. *Biochemistry* 29, 9697–9707 (1990).
- I. A. Wilson, J. J. Skehel, D. C. Wiley, Structure of the haemagglutinin membrane glycoprotein of influenza virus at 3 Å resolution. *Nature* 289, 366–373 (1981).
- J. J. Skehel, D. C. Wiley, Receptor binding and membrane fusion in virus entry: The influenza hemagglutinin. Annu. Rev. Biochem. 69, 531–569 (2000).
- C. M. Carr, P. S. Kim, A spring-loaded mechanism for the conformational change of influenza hemagglutinin. *Cell* 73, 823–832 (1993).
- S. A. Wharton, S. R. Martin, R. W. Ruigrok, J. J. Skehel, D. C. Wiley, Membrane fusion by peptide analogues of influenza virus haemagglutinin. *J. Gen. Virol.* 69, 1847–1857 (1988).
- D. A. Steinhauer, S. A. Wharton, J. J. Skehel, D. C. Wiley, Studies of the membrane fusion activities of fusion peptide mutants of influenza virus hemagglutinin. *J. Virol.* 69, 6643–6651 (1995).
- K. J. Cross, S. A. Wharton, J. J. Skehel, D. C. Wiley, D. A. Steinhauer, Studies on influenza haemagglutinin fusion peptide mutants generated by reverse genetics. *EMBO J.* 20, 4432–4442 (2001).
- K. J. Cross, W. A. Langley, R. J. Russell, J. J. Skehel, D. A. Steinhauer, Composition and functions of the influenza fusion peptide. *Protein Pept. Lett.* 16, 766–778 (2009).
- H. Qiao, R. T. Armstrong, G. B. Melikyan, F. S. Cohen, J. M. White, A specific point mutant at position 1 of the influenza hemagglutinin fusion peptide displays a hemifusion phenotype. *Mol. Biol. Cell* 10, 2759–2769 (1999).
- M. D'Agostino, H. J. Risselada, A. Lürick, C. Ungermann, A. Mayer, A tethering complex drives the terminal stage of SNARE-dependent membrane fusion. *Nature* 551, 634–638 (2017).
- L. V. Chernomordik, M. M. Kozlov, Mechanics of membrane fusion. Nat. Struct. Mol. Biol. 15, 675–683 (2008).
- P. Chlanda et al., The hemifusion structure induced by influenza virus haemagglutinin is determined by physical properties of the target membranes. Nat. Microbiol. 1, 16050 (2016).
- L. J. Calder, P. B. Rosenthal, Cryomicroscopy provides structural snapshots of influenza virus membrane fusion. Nat. Struct. Mol. Biol. 23, 853–858 (2016).
- L. Gui, J. L. Ebner, A. Mileant, J. A. Williams, K. K. Lee, Visualization and sequencing of membrane remodeling leading to influenza virus fusion. J. Virol. 90, 6948–6962 (2016).
- P. M. Kasson, E. Lindahl, V. S. Pande, Water ordering at membrane interfaces controls fusion dynamics. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 133, 3812–3815 (2011).
- V. S. Markin, M. M. Kozlov, V. L. Borovjagin, On the theory of membrane fusion. The stalk mechanism. *Gen. Physiol. Biophys.* 3, 361–377 (1984).
- M. M. Kozlov, S. L. Leikin, L. V. Chernomordik, V. S. Markin, Y. A. Chizmadzhev, Stalk mechanism of vesicle fusion. Intermixing of aqueous contents. *Eur. Biophys. J.* 17, 121–129 (1989).
- K. E. Zawada, K. Okamoto, P. M. Kasson, Influenza hemifusion phenotype depends on membrane context: Differences in cell-cell and virus-cell fusion. J. Mol. Biol. 430, 594– 601 (2018).
- P. Larsson, P. M. Kasson, Lipid tail protrusion in simulations predicts fusogenic activity of influenza fusion peptide mutants and conformational models. *PLOS Comput. Biol.* 9, e1002950 (2013).
- D. Mirjanian, A. N. Dickey, J. H. Hoh, T. B. Woolf, M. J. Stevens, Splaying of aliphatic tails plays a central role in barrier crossing during liposome fusion. *J. Phys. Chem. B* 114, 11061–11068 (2010).
- Y. G. Smirnova, S. J. Marrink, R. Lipowsky, V. Knecht, Solvent-exposed tails as prestalk transition states for membrane fusion at low hydration. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 132, 6710– 6718 (2010).
- P. M. Kasson, E. Lindahl, V. S. Pande, Atomic-resolution simulations predict a transition state for vesicle fusion defined by contact of a few lipid tails. *PLOS Comput. Biol.* 6, e1000829 (2010).
- U. Ghosh, L. Xie, L. Jia, S. Liang, D. P. Weliky, Closed and semiclosed interhelical structures in membrane vs closed and open structures in detergent for the influenza virus hemagglutinin fusion peptide and correlation of hydrophobic surface area with fusion catalysis. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 137, 7548–7551 (2015).
- H. Chakraborty, P. K. Tarafdar, D. G. Klapper, B. R. Lentz, Wild-type and mutant hemagglutinin fusion peptides alter bilayer structure as well as kinetics and activation thermodynamics of stalk and pore formation differently: Mechanistic implications. *Biophys. J.* 105, 2495–2506 (2013).

7206 | www.pnas.org/cgi/doi/10.1073/pnas.1914188117

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS. We thank our many colleagues for helpful discussions, including J. Hays, S. Haldar, A. Villamil Giraldo, C. Stroupe, L. Tamm, L. Chernomordik, and J. Zimmerberg. We also thank Marta Domanska for assisting with the initial setup of some of our simulations. This work was funded by NIH Grant R01 GM098304 and a Wallenberg Academy Fellowship (to P.M.K.). Computing time was provided by Folding@Home, the PDC Center for High-Performance Computing supported by the Swedish National Infrastructure for Computing, and NSF allocations on Blue Waters and Frontera.

- S. T. Smrt, A. W. Draney, J. L. Lorieau, The influenza hemagglutinin fusion domain is an amphipathic helical hairpin that functions by inducing membrane curvature. J. Biol. Chem. 290, 228–238 (2015).
- P. Lagüe, B. Roux, R. W. Pastor, Molecular dynamics simulations of the influenza hemagglutinin fusion peptide in micelles and bilayers: Conformational analysis of peptide and lipids. J. Mol. Biol. 354, 1129–1141 (2005).
- M. Fuhrmans, S. J. Marrink, Molecular view of the role of fusion peptides in promoting positive membrane curvature. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 134, 1543–1552 (2012).
- R. M. Epand, R. F. Epand, I. Martin, J. M. Ruysschaert, Membrane interactions of mutated forms of the influenza fusion peptide. *Biochemistry* 40, 8800–8807 (2001).
- L. V. Chernomordik, V. A. Frolov, E. Leikina, P. Bronk, J. Zimmerberg, The pathway of membrane fusion catalyzed by influenza hemagglutinin: Restriction of lipids, hemifusion, and lipidic fusion pore formation. J. Cell Biol. 140, 1369–1382 (1998).
- Y. Kozlovsky, L. V. Chernomordik, M. M. Kozlov, Lipid intermediates in membrane fusion: Formation, structure, and decay of hemifusion diaphragm. *Biophys. J.* 83, 2634–2651 (2002).
- D. L. Floyd, J. R. Ragains, J. J. Skehel, S. C. Harrison, A. M. van Oijen, Single-particle kinetics of influenza virus membrane fusion. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 105, 15382– 15387 (2008).
- J. J. Otterstrom et al., Relating influenza virus membrane fusion kinetics to stoichiometry of neutralizing antibodies at the single-particle level. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 111, E5143–E5148 (2014).
- T. Ivanovic, J. L. Choi, S. P. Whelan, A. M. van Oijen, S. C. Harrison, Influenza-virus membrane fusion by cooperative fold-back of stochastically induced hemagglutinin intermediates. *eLife* 2, e00333 (2013).
- R. J. Rawle, S. G. Boxer, P. M. Kasson, Disentangling viral membrane fusion from receptor binding using synthetic DNA-lipid conjugates. *Biophys. J.* 111, 123–131 (2016).
- M. M. Kozlov, L. V. Chernomordik, A mechanism of protein-mediated fusion: Coupling between refolding of the influenza hemagglutinin and lipid rearrangements. *Biophys. J.* 75, 1384–1396 (1998).
- I. Markovic, E. Leikina, M. Zhukovsky, J. Zimmerberg, L. V. Chernomordik, Synchronized activation and refolding of influenza hemagglutinin in multimeric fusion machines. J. Cell Biol. 155, 833–844 (2001).
- Y. G. Smirnova, H. J. Risselada, M. Müller, Thermodynamically reversible paths of the first fusion intermediate reveal an important role for membrane anchors of fusion proteins. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **116**, 2571–2576 (2019).
- X. Han, J. H. Bushweller, D. S. Cafiso, L. K. Tamm, Membrane structure and fusiontriggering conformational change of the fusion domain from influenza hemagglutinin. Nat. Struct. Biol. 8, 715–720 (2001).
- J. L. Lorieau, J. M. Louis, A. Bax, The complete influenza hemagglutinin fusion domain adopts a tight helical hairpin arrangement at the lipid:water interface. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **107**, 11341–11346 (2010).
- U. Ghosh, L. Xie, D. P. Weliky, Detection of closed influenza virus hemagglutinin fusion peptide structures in membranes by backbone (13)CO- (15)N rotational-echo double-resonance solid-state NMR. J. Biomol. NMR 55, 139–146 (2013).
- H. J. Risselada, G. Bubnis, H. Grubmüller, Expansion of the fusion stalk and its implication for biological membrane fusion. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 111, 11043– 11048 (2014).
- S. Légaré, P. Lagüe, The influenza fusion peptide promotes lipid polar head intrusion through hydrogen bonding with phosphates and N-terminal membrane insertion depth. *Proteins* 82, 2118–2127 (2014).
- W. Qiang, Y. Sun, D. P. Weliky, A strong correlation between fusogenicity and membrane insertion depth of the HIV fusion peptide. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 106, 15314–15319 (2009).
- R. T. Armstrong, A. S. Kushnir, J. M. White, The transmembrane domain of influenza hemagglutinin exhibits a stringent length requirement to support the hemifusion to fusion transition. J. Cell Biol. 151, 425–437 (2000).
- G. W. Kemble, T. Danieli, J. M. White, Lipid-anchored influenza hemagglutinin promotes hemifusion, not complete fusion. *Cell* 76, 383–391 (1994).
- G. B. Melikyan, J. M. White, F. S. Cohen, GPI-anchored influenza hemagglutinin induces hemifusion to both red blood cell and planar bilayer membranes. J. Cell Biol. 131, 679–691 (1995).
- G. B. Melikyan, S. Lin, M. G. Roth, F. S. Cohen, Amino acid sequence requirements of the transmembrane and cytoplasmic domains of influenza virus hemagglutinin for viable membrane fusion. *Mol. Biol. Cell* **10**, 1821–1836 (1999).
- C. Kozerski, E. Ponimaskin, B. Schroth-Diez, M. F. Schmidt, A. Herrmann, Modification of the cytoplasmic domain of influenza virus hemagglutinin affects enlargement of the fusion pore. J. Virol. 74, 7529–7537 (2000).
- T. Danieli, S. L. Pelletier, Y. I. Henis, J. M. White, Membrane fusion mediated by the influenza virus hemagglutinin requires the concerted action of at least three hemagglutinin trimers. J. Cell Biol. 133, 559–569 (1996).

Pabis et al.

- J. Bentz, Minimal aggregate size and minimal fusion unit for the first fusion pore of influenza hemagglutinin-mediated membrane fusion. *Biophys. J.* 78, 227–245 (2000).
- M. Imai, T. Mizuno, K. Kawasaki, Membrane fusion by single influenza hemagglutinin trimers. Kinetic evidence from image analysis of hemagglutinin-reconstituted vesicles. *J. Biol. Chem.* 281, 12729–12735 (2006).
- A. Fiser, A. Sali, Modeller: Generation and refinement of homology-based protein structure models. *Methods Enzymol.* 374, 461–491 (2003).
- J. Chen, J. J. Skehel, D. C. Wiley, N-and C-terminal residues combine in the fusion-pH influenza hemagglutinin HA2 subunit to form an N cap that terminates the triplestranded coiled coil. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 96, 8967–8972 (1999).
- S. Pronk, E. Lindahl, P. M. Kasson, Coupled diffusion in lipid bilayers upon close approach. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 137, 708–714 (2015).
- Y. Sun, D. P. Weliky, 13C-13C correlation spectroscopy of membrane-associated influenza virus fusion peptide strongly supports a helix-turn-helix motif and two turn conformations. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 131, 13228–13229 (2009).
- J. L. Lorieau, J. M. Louis, C. D. Schwieters, A. Bax, pH-triggered, activated-state conformations of the influenza hemagglutinin fusion peptide revealed by NMR. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 109, 19994–19999 (2012).
- S. Pronk et al., GROMACS 4.5: A high-throughput and highly parallel open source molecular simulation toolkit. *Bioinformatics* 29, 845–854 (2013).
- K. Lindorff-Larsen et al., Improved side-chain torsion potentials for the Amber ff99SB protein force field. Proteins 78, 1950–1958 (2010).

- V. Hornak et al., Comparison of multiple Amber force fields and development of improved protein backbone parameters. Proteins 65, 712–725 (2006).
- A. Pabis, P. M. Kasson, Data from "Influenza hemagglutinin drives viral entry via two sequential intramembrane mechanisms." Zenodo. https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo. 3698017. Deposited 5 March 2020.
- T. A. Wassenaar, K. Pluhackova, R. A. Böckmann, S. J. Marrink, D. P. Tieleman, Going backward: A flexible geometric approach to reverse transformation from coarse grained to atomistic models. J. Chem. Theory Comput. 10, 676–690 (2014).
- D. H. de Jong et al., Improved parameters for the Martini coarse-grained protein force field. J. Chem. Theory Comput. 9, 687–697 (2013).
- J. Huang, A. D. MacKerell, Jr, CHARMM36 all-atom additive protein force field: Validation based on comparison to NMR data. J. Comput. Chem. 34, 2135–2145 (2013).
- J. B. Klauda et al., Update of the CHARMM all-atom additive force field for lipids: Validation on six lipid types. J. Phys. Chem. B 114, 7830–7843 (2010).
- I. N. Goronzy, R. J. Rawle, S. G. Boxer, P. M. Kasson, Cholesterol enhances influenza binding avidity by controlling nanoscale receptor clustering. *Chem. Sci.* 9, 2340–2347 (2018).
- P. M. Kasson, D. L. Ensign, V. S. Pande, Combining molecular dynamics with Bayesian analysis to predict and evaluate ligand-binding mutations in influenza hemagglutinin. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 131, 11338–11340 (2009).
- P. M. Kasson *et al.*, Persistent voids: A new structural metric for membrane fusion. *Bioinformatics* 23, 1753–1759 (2007).

